

Dec. 18, 2014 (#1478)
ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL
FOSTER)

realitybytesradio.com

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio on the 18th of December, 2014. It's soon to be Christmas, this time next week, and people will be spending lots of money they don't have again and paying for it for the rest of the year no doubt. We've got Alan Watt on the line our regular monthly guest and this week we are going to talk about an article in "**Cosmopolitan**" magazine written by **Lord Birkenhead** in 1929. It's interesting that this article appeared in a women's magazine when he's talking about test tube babies and artificial wombs and things like that. I don't know how it went down with women of 1929 but it's interesting on the front cover there is a picture of a lady reading a book and the title of the book is called "**This Madness**". Welcome to the show again Alan.

Alan: Yes, it's a pleasure to be on.

Neil: I suppose we'd better start off with exactly who Lord Birkenhead was, what his background was and who his family was. Can you enlighten us on that?

Alan: His name I think was Smith actually. It's actually Smith but they were well connected and of course he eventually was made Lord and he became really the crown prosecutor for Britain for the establishment you might say against big cases, etcetera. So he was a big, big player. He also became a member of parliament and then the House of Lords as well. And he actually was involved in an awful lot of things that were happening at that particular era that had to do with not just the sciences and promoting different sciences and the early Royal Society but he was also involved in setting up the separation of part of Ireland for the independent free state of Southern Ireland and so on. So he worked with Collins, Michael Collins on that and because of that at least there was a partial independence there.

So he was involved in a lot of big world affairs on behalf of say the crown you might say or the establishment of Britain of his day. He knew the top scientists of his day. He also knew the different agencies or societies that were helping run Britain or actually ran Britain anyway on behalf of the establishment. He knew the Rhodes Group for instance. He knew the Milner Group. And he was well aware of all the plans that they had for bringing in a form of world government based initially on the British system. It had to do with free trade plus the adoption of the British system of supposedly parliamentary systems, massive bureaucracies and eventually they'd amalgamate all this into a kind of planetary system. So he was well aware of the big agendas back in his day.

But back then too you have got to remember too that he was born in the late, in the 1800's, and in the 1800's were the advances of science during that time. We can't imagine today really how, it was like a blitz of science all at once from the steam engine that could take you across continents, etcetera, that were getting, engines were getting put into ships for the first time, all of this kind of thing. Mass production like never before on a massive scale and they thought this would never end. They could see with this optimism that science would push on, and push on, and push on. But they also had, apart from this idea of a global type system, they never thought about equality for the people really, they wanted an obedient population, well trained. Training was a big, big part of it too. And early psychologists were involved and tried to find ways of creating the perfect culture. Basically having obedient, hardworking peoples, etcetera, etcetera. And when he wrote that in *Cosmopolitan*, and if you go through Harper Magazines and so on you'll find a lot more articles very similar to this from other big players around the same period. But they wanted this division of labor that even Karl Marx talked about and so on and how to, they discussed in their big meetings how they could breed people for the particular jobs that they would have to do and therefore for mundane repetitive jobs you wouldn't want someone who would get driven crazy because their intellect wasn't being used. You'd literally breed them for the purpose. And in 1929 remember that was just about three to four years before **Aldous Huxley** brought out his book, "**Brave New World**". So he was in touch with all of these people who were all pushing this futuristic society including **H.G. Wells**.

So these weren't just the little closet type meetings they had or after dinner meetings, these were big club establishment meetings they had with all the top players being brought together to run society in the way that they thought it should be run, not just talk about it, but how to implement it and how to use money to finance the different sciences that would be necessary, including

genetics in fact, to make all of this happen. Plus the psychologists, the early behaviorists, all of these people which we have today of course.

Neil: Yeah, we'll start off with the introduction to this.

It says:

"This is a startling, prophetic look into the future. Its author is not a dreamer or a harebrained fanatic. Lord Birkenhead is one of the foremost statesmen in England today. Save this issue of Cosmopolitan. You won't be alive in 2029, but your children's children will be, and it will be interesting for them to check the accuracy of the predictions here made by Lord Birkenhead."

Neil: And the first one right off the bat is...

- *"Babies will be produced by chemists in laboratories."*

Neil: Well we are well down the road to that.

Then it says:

- *"The entire institution of marriage will be changed."*

Neil: Well that's been done.

- *"We will all live to be 150."*

Neil: Now I don't know where we are with that one.

But:

- *"No one will need to work more than two hours a day."*

Alan: Well the thing is too what they did, you'll also find that they had already worked out the problems if they allowed people to live to that age, and be pretty virile and so on, they'd be overpopulated in no time at all. And so at the same time they were discussing all of this back in the late 1920's. They were talking about ways of contraception, etcetera, and finding ways of contraception. Now but the thing is too before they'd even discovered the gene, they talked about genes quite openly amongst themselves and in their own writings. And it was another book from another person he knew, that Birkenhead knew, and that was Rutherford.

Rutherford was a top mathematician of his day with the **Royal Society**. And in his own memoirs you'll find that Rutherford talks about, for the last few years before he died, he wrote his book. But he said I've been working on genetics. This is before they could actually see in the genes and so on, on the chromosomes, etcetera.

And yet which means that really, yeah they had already discovered all this stuff a long time ago because you wouldn't need a mathematician unless you had actually discovered where they are and so on and could use a mathematician in the process. It would be pointless otherwise. So these guys already knew that they could alter life itself way back then. And there were high secret establishments obviously working on these projects.

Neil: Yeah well I was going to come on to that later on, the beneficial aspects of the technology which obviously we are not going to get. We will only get the technology that is going to be used to put the change on us basically. And but again if there was good technology this prediction would probably come true that no one would need to work more than two hours a day and they would be allowed to get on and do the stuff that they really wanted to do. But again we are not going to get the technology to allow that to happen.

But the next one is:

- *"Agriculture will be abolished - except as a hobby - and all foodstuffs will be produced synthetically."*

Neil: Well we are nearly there already with that one as well.

And:

- *"Man will be able to alter the geography or climate of the world."*

Neil: Now they must have been trying this out back then. They must have had all of this stuff back then because you couldn't have possibly predicted this kind of thing without trying it.

Alan: Well you can go back further, this is, the odd part of it too is that coincidence or not but the fact is and if you read "***The New Atlantis***" by Francis Bacon you'll find that in that book he talks about a machine underground which could give off the light of the sun and control the weather. I mean this has always been a fascination with these guys all down through the centuries is total control of the environment and everything in the environment including us. And so you find that yeah he revived that same idea simply because of the scientific elite he was mixing with, Birkenhead. And sure they always, they were putting so much of our tax money, this is the beauty of it too, they can use as much tax money as they want for all their quiet, secretive research, and actually start using it and so on. So I think it's been that way for an awful, awful long time. If it can be done, and he said the same thing, it will be done. If it can be done, it will be done. No matter what it is you couldn't stop science, he said, therefore they thought about the ways they could use these things for their own benefit. Not for the general public necessarily but for their own benefit.

But the odd thing was, back in the 1960's, there was a big spate again from this hurrah, hurrah thing from science and the BBC in England where they were putting all these articles out on the future, back in the early 60's. When they wanted the public to have their old system destroyed you might say to rebuild the new one, the hippie era, etcetera. And in the 60's they said the same things that eventually it would be a privilege to get a job. You won't need a job. You would be well looked after your whole life. But leisure would be your biggest problem, how would you pass your time? No one would be poor; no one would be starving, etcetera. So they keep giving you these carrots all the time to get the public onboard with this hurrah, hurrah, hurrah for science and the constant massive taxations for research and development for a future which you are never going to get yourself. Life extension, I have no doubt whatsoever, actually exists.

Neil: Yeah I was going to say that in the film from H.G. Wells' book called "***The***

Time Machine", you see all the people just lazing about all day and doing nothing whatsoever and that's supposed to be the utopia we are all promised. And I remember the scene where the lady falls into the river and nobody can even be bothered to get up and save her. You know that's it, she fell in the river, she was so stupid and so that's that.

The life extension I mean you know we never see the likes of the Kissinger's of this world or the Rockefeller's ever getting ill or getting cancer or anything of that sort. The royals they all live to you know over a hundred. And I mean the basic human life is well capable of reaching a hundred years old if they get the right foods, you know nutrition, and they just stay healthy you know. So in terms of life extension and technology how do you, I mean do you have any information on how that's been done?

Alan: Yeah. I'm sure they are well into... Disease itself you know is not a one-on-one thing per bacterium or per virus, etcetera. What you have to see, what they did a long time ago was to see what all viruses have in common and what all bacterium will have in common with each other. And they can simply eliminate the process as it divides and so on and multiplies, they can simply hit all bacteria at the same stage and kill it off immediately. I'm sure there are real inoculations there that were developed a long, long time ago. But Kissinger as you say, the Brzezinski's are still trotting across the whole planet. You've got Maurice Strong who is a good age now still running around the planet giving his speeches and given the royal carpet. None of them suffer from what you call aging diseases such as Alzheimer's, arthritis, any of those things, old David Rockefeller the same thing.

So you just cannot have that in a person, they have to have something wrong with them. But these guys are literally, they are full of energy. The energy they have could put a modern twenty-year-old to shame at times. And the food they eat is the richest, best food, it's not GM food. It's not soaked in pesticides and so on. I'm sure they've never had any inoculations that we get and yet they go on and on. So I'm sure that they are given life extension to do with literally what David Suzuki, another big top lefty world government character, David Suzuki said quite a few years ago on the CBC on national television in Canada, he said, and he's a geneticist by profession actually and he's the guy who also said to his students, it's up there on YouTube, that people are just maggots. You know there are different levels of maggots; some of the richest maggots simply poop on the ones beneath it and they live off the poop from the ones above. That's David Suzuki's arrogant stance on these things. But he said that we at present have the capability to stop the aging in your genetic make-up and make a person live to five hundred if we want to, you know. And no one, there was no stir about that at all.

Neil: Yeah. Okay, now moving on to, well we've done the controlling the weather.

- *"Coal-mining will be an extinct industry."*

Neil: Well that's basically happened you know for all intents and purposes. In the UK it's completely gone regardless of the fact I think that we've got an estimated 300 years worth of the stuff still under the ground.

- *"A forty-eight hour day will come into being by retarding the rotations of the Earth."*

Neil: Now I don't know why they would want to do that but as you say these guys are, you can't stop science, you've just got to try it out if you can do it just to try it you know. It doesn't matter how dangerous it is, let's just do it to see what happens.

But one of the ones that everybody should recognize is...

- *"Sitting in our homes we will see and hear events the world over."*

Neil: I mean this is before television was supposedly invented. But here we are, we can at the click of a mouse, we can watch any news channel all over the world and be told apparently what's going on in those countries.

I mean these, I don't know how far back the invention of television goes but we are told it only happened you know around about the time of during the 1st World War, the 2nd World War. How far back do you think this kind of technology goes?

Alan: Oh they were experimenting with television in the early 1900's on small scales as far as we know, it could be on much bigger scales, but on small scales for sure. And you'll find from, depending in which country you are born into you get a different version of who invented what and when. Every country claims to be the inventor of the TV, even Russia did that. And things like that but we also saw about Marconi and his radio transmission, etcetera, other ones supposedly did it before him. You'll find there is so much conflicting data that you have to stand back and wonder how old the technologies actually are. Don't forget that in even the days of Benjamin Franklin everybody was experimenting with the Leyden jars, which they called batteries at the time, and electricity.

So scientific institutes have been experimenting quietly with this stuff for an awful, awful long time. And it's a quite natural progression when you look at the purpose of how government sees itself or the establishment that owns it all. And how they see themselves is they rule, they must be protected, they want to take over resources, etcetera, in other countries under different guises. It doesn't matter what guise it is and you are always going in to liberate or free up or tame the wild savages kind of stuff as you plunder. But the fact is, and warfare is the biggest, biggest power that they have therefore it would be fantastic to see what an enemy is doing. And they speculated about having cameras in the sky back in his day, that kind of thing. Now we have drones as well all over the place. So it was a natural thing to put a lot of money in it, an investigation. And unlimited funding from taxes, that's the whole thing, into hiring lots of scientists to work incessantly on a problem which they'd eventually have to solve. It would just simply happen throwing money at it, putting the minds behind it, lots of experimentation eventually you would get your product.

So what you are seeing is **directed science**. The present society we live has actually been directed to be this way. Not because they could have gone off in a thousand other directions, but because the establishment wanted these particular things for control purposes.

Neil: Yeah that's what I was going to go on to. Basically the technology we are given is to fit in with the overall agenda.

Alan: That's right.

Neil: We are never going to get technology which is going to benefit us and anybody looking around can see that. The only thing they have given us that's supposedly to benefit us is the TV and the computer and the mobile phone.

Alan: Well the TV is the biggest brainwashing tool there is. {Laughs}

Neil: Yeah of course. {Laughs} And that will convince people that all the technology that's coming out is beneficial to them. But I've heard you talk before, well you've mentioned the behaviorists involved in these kind of meetings as well. And I mean back then were they studying the effects of bringing in this kind of surveillance society. And did they know exactly that it could change people's attitudes or behavior?

Alan: They had experimental hospitals actually on the go in Russia, Soviet Russia, early Soviet Russia. The Bolsheviks immediately went into experimenting on the human brain by really crude nasty methods of taking skulls off, wards, whole wards of patients. You can actually see a little video of them with the skulls removed and doing the test probes of different parts of the brain. They were also sharing the data with **Tavistock** in England and the Tavistock institution is where **Aldous Huxley** was in and out of all the time. He was fascinated by the ability to insert basic electrodes or stimulate by simple electricity parts of the brain and have people turn right and left and be obedient and things like that.

So they were wired at the time, so we are told, they didn't have the wireless we are told, but I don't see why they couldn't have had with the early remotes, etcetera, have eventually put in wireless remotes into the brain like Delgado did in the U.S. And he worked with the CIA and FBI. So this has always been a thing with them, is to map the whole human brain.

Pavlov was way into that thing, it wasn't just dogs he was working on, it was humans too. They had a captive population. They could simply round up a thousand people overnight under any guise at all under 'counterrevolutionary' and bring them in and simply experiment on them and that was the last people ever saw of them. They were used as human guinea pigs; so they had literally enclosed, little enclosed societies of experimentation. In the West we know that Tavistock was heavily involved in this with patients again that no one would ever see again, the relatives either wouldn't visit, or there were no relatives, they were even better to do all the electrical stimulation of the brain, etcetera.

But they also had experimental schools. That was a big part of it too and Lord Bertrand Russell also, who also knew Birkenhead, Lord Bertrand Russell was also given permission to set up an experimental school in England for quite a few years, heavily, heavily funded, enclosed from the rest of society to bring children up under a completely different reality, all a very closed system. And he said, if we want to we can tell them that snow is white and the sky during the day is black and things like that and they'd believe it because that's their whole reality, the Plato's cave kind of thing.

And he also tried to promote pre-pubertal sex. He said the idea being that if we can hypersexualize children before puberty they would really be hypersexualized afterwards and they will never want to have a partner for the rest of their lives, so

that would finish the institution of marriage for the general population. So these things were all done way back in the 20's and the 30's, the 1920's and 30's, massive experiments.

Neil: Yeah that was in one of his predictions, that marriage will be changed forever, so unfortunately it is nonexistent these days to any great extent. He talks about in the first page of this about alternative energy and admits that the cheapness of this energy supposedly will destroy the lives of millions of people. And then he talks about the cheap energy creating all kinds of acute social problems but he doesn't actually state what they are apart from the fact that it is going to destroy the livelihoods of millions and millions of people. But would you have any kind of opinion on that, what exactly he means by that?

Alan: Well the livelihood really is that things will become computerized down the road. Mechanical robots we could say would do most of the heavy work, etcetera. You wouldn't need the workforce as we know it today and remember too that Julian Huxley and Aldous Huxley, the two brothers, both talked about the same thing, about the same time, when they said that there's a different strata of society that rules this system. And he said at the top you have a dominant minority that have the power, the money, and so on. That's why they will never give up their hold on what we think of as money, that we think is normal, but it's all a rigged system. And to the elite they don't need the money, it's a system for control. But they said under that they have a scientific elite. He said we, I mean the Huxley's, they belong to the scientific elite and along with their kind of sciences you had the social sciences coming in big time then, psychology, behaviorism, and so on. And you also had the chemists coming in and so on and biologists, etcetera, that give you the inoculations, all of these kinds of things.

So they talked about the total control of society to try and alleviate the problems they'd have when they had a massive population which they wouldn't need anymore. But they didn't want them to rebel either so they'd give them lots and lots of entertainment but at the same time they'd have to sterilize them quietly along the way. And they mean quietly, in other words they wouldn't come out and admit it. The symptoms would obviously be there as they are today but they won't come out and admit it that they've been doing it deliberately. And eventually the worker type who hadn't evolved, because evolution is a big part of this with their belief system, the working force that did the mundane jobs wouldn't be needed, they'd be obsolete and they hadn't evolved any higher and never could evolve any higher. If they could evolve higher they would have done it already. That was their whole stance on all of this class system at that particular time. It hasn't really changed by the way.

But so eventually if you go from book to book from the characters who all knew each other and discussed this in their big meetings you'll find that **H.G. Wells** had in his book called, "**A Modern Utopia**", where he projected how the future would be, he said that we used to think we'd simply have to kill the excess population which were irrelevant nowadays for the future, he said, but we took the kinder way and simply sterilized those people so they couldn't reproduce. They could live their lives and then die off and there would be no other offspring to cause any problems. So these, every part of the topics of how all of society works had been gone over by different little think tanks in his particular day. It's much, much, much bigger today of course naturally.

Neil: Yeah getting back to the advent of television as he predicts. He even actually uses the word television before television was invented.

It says here:

"During the next hundred years, applied physics will certainly develop wireless telephony and television beyond our present most imaginative expectations. By 2029 it should be possible for any person sitting at home to be "present"..."

Neil: He's got that in inverted commas so it's almost like a virtual reality he is talking about here.

"...at no matter what distant event. Stereoscopic television in full natural colors, and perfected wireless telephony will enable him to see and hear any event which is broadcast as effectively as if he stood beside the transmitting apparatus."

Neil: And then he goes on to say, you talked about it, propaganda and uses...

"Such developments must influence the future of politics; for by their aid it will be feasible once more to revive that form of democracy which flourished in the city-states of Ancient Greece."

Neil: So there's the plan laid out. That's where they want to go with it all, back to Ancient Greece where they could sit in their ivory towers and just throw bread and stuff to the peasants basically.

Alan: That's right and also that is what the think tank for the British military came up with back in 2008 I think it was. It was the big 90-page report, "***The DCDC Global Strategic Trends Programme***", which I put up on my website, **cuttingthroughthematrix.com**. And remember this particular think tank is the Department of Defence's think tank for Britain and NATO, all NATO countries, and they said that eventually by about 2050 or whatever they think that countries will simply be like Karl Marx said, they would wither away. You can see why they both work together, these two systems, the dialectic. And nation-states would survive, certain nation-states or city-states would survive. And they actually mention some of the city-states that they wanted to keep and other ones which may or may not be allowed to go in. The rest of the countries, what used to be countries, would become barbaric and wastelands as folk died off, etcetera. And they would be privately run by the way and privately owned.

Neil: Yeah so he carries on, on the political argument to advancing television.

And:

"By 2029 the chosen spokesman of each political party will be able to address every voter as effectively as he now can address the House of Commons. And so the electorate itself, rather than its representatives, may decide each vital political issue. After the spokesman of each party had had his (or her) say, the votes of the entire country could be recorded and counted by mechanism installed in the telephone exchanges. Within twenty minutes from the end of the last speech, the will of a national jury on any

subject could be ascertained and announced."

Neil: Now that's obviously pertaining to electronic voting from home, you don't have to go out and put your X in the box anymore. You just have to listen to this talking head on the television. And it's interesting he actually says "*his (or her)*". I mean this was in the day when women were still in the kitchen and you know kind of weren't really in the workforce as such. But he's clearly stated that there will be, the heads of parties will be women in the future as well. And here we are. You know it's all been done. And it is as you say it's the most effective propaganda tool on the planet. And when we see these guys getting up there pontificating on one issue or another people just buy it.

Alan: They buy it and again what Birkenhead had said, he said that big decisions could then be more egalitarian. In other words the people would decide. That was the carrot that the writers of his particular era were putting out there, this wonderful future utopia. They had to get the people to go along with all of this so you offer them the carrot, it's going to be a wonderful life for you, etcetera. Making them think that you are all going to be one of the chosen. And even Julian Huxley said the same thing, he said, lots of the people who are working for our agenda think they are going to be chosen to come through and they are sadly mistaken.

So they must always use the masses to go along with their own demise. That's the technique that's always used because they had no intention of ever letting the public decide anything. And on the other hand too they also knew how decision making is made by the general public, it's all to do with information. They knew they could always guide the public into making the so-called correct decision or correct vote by putting the things across in the right manner to the public. So they can count on the public to vote the way they want them to vote. {Laughs}

Neil: Yeah. Well he goes from physics to chemistry.

And he starts off by saying:

"Applied chemistry has not affected human life in a manner comparable with the changes produced in physical research. So far as the ordinary man is concerned, chemistry is only useful to him when it discovers new and desirable substances;"

Neil: In other words drugs.

"...or discovers a means of synthesizing a material more cheaply that it is produced in nature. In the past chemists have enriched the resources of humanity with new metals, dyes, drugs, explosives, and other substances useful in industry or in private life. By 2029 thousands more such new substances will be available; aluminum will be cheaper than pig iron is today; malleable, unbreakable glass will be a commonplace of domestic life."

Neil: And what he is talking about that is we hear a lot in the U.S. about, I'm sure it's the same in Canada, about this drive to legalize cannabis. And the young people I've spoken to here are all for it. They are going to vote for this guy because he's all for legalizing cannabis. And I'm trying to say to them but it won't be this cannabis you are buying now, it's going to be the stuff that they produce

for you. And it isn't going to be organic; it's going to be synthetic and possibly genetically modified and you won't know what you are smoking. I mean is that....

Alan: The thing is too, yeah you will find that even **Aldous Huxley** came up with the same idea in his book, "**Brave New World**", from 1933 you know. He came up with Soma. Soma was given to the general public to keep them quiet and passive and in a state of ecstasy basically no matter what they had to work at or the miserable conditions they lived in. And of course we know that the military for instance, some of the strongest marijuana they have out there was created by the military, genetically modified, etcetera. And it's no secret either for those who are working in the medical profession on post-mortem or autopsy, it's no secret whatsoever that it does go in and it does start to enlarge the ventricles inside the brain, which destroys systems around that particular ventricle area, you produce less L-DOPA, things like that.

So it can make you very, very passive down the road regardless if you stop taking it or not. It has a permanent effect eventually.

Neil: Yeah.

He goes on:

"It has been suggested that chemical research will turn to the discovery of new physiologically pleasant substances."

Neil: Again there is your Soma for you.

"At present civilized mankind has discovered and adopted only three such substances: tobacco, alcohol and caffeine. These certainly have added enormously to the amenities of existence; and Doctor J.B.S. Haldane has proposed that chemists should seriously consider a search for many more such additions to human enjoyment."

Neil: Of course Haldane was a eugenicist I believe.

And:

"Most chemical substances are either disagreeable or dangerous in their physiological effects, though a small number, not more than a few thousands, are valuable to medicine. Should chemistry in the next hundred years be able to discover a dozen substances as pleasant and harmless as tobacco, yet each producing a different effect on the consumer, it will have earned the thanks of every hard-worked man and woman in the world."

Neil: {Laughs} In other words you go to work, you have a really bad day, and then you come home and take your dose of drugs to make yourself feel better. Like I suppose many people do with alcohol today.

Alan: They do today with drugs and alcohol, yeah. And don't forget too a lot of the drugs today are prescribed by the doctors for all their depressions and so on and so on. And there is nothing about getting to the bottom of depression or why you are depressed. It might be the rotten job that you are doing and this monotonous existence that you are in.

So pharmacology has no intention of course of finding the problems. Their whole point is to get you drugged so you don't think about the problems and you drift through life in a kind of fog you know, a happy fog. And most medications out there are prescribed by doctors. Also even the prescription medications in some areas in the states especially, maybe elsewhere too, but there have been documentaries about it in the states in some areas they are just prescribing OxyContin for anything, any problem at all. It doesn't matter what it happens to be; until they've got whole parts of U.S. states hooked on this stuff. And these are all prescribed by doctors and they are getting away with prescribing this stuff which means it is part of an agenda, it has to be.

Neil: Yeah, Paula was talking to somebody earlier today or yesterday about a medication she is on and now this lady needs to go for a liver biopsy. And Paula said afterwards the drugs that they are on are causing the liver problems, you need to get off them to start with. I mean not just because the doctors told her that. But this, it's just one thing after another. One side effect has got to be cured by another pill which will cause another side effect, and on and on it goes.

He moves on to, he starts talking about painkillers and anesthetics and immediately he relates it to painless childbirth.

And then he goes on to say:

"Biologists by 2029 will have learned the secrets of the living chemistry of the human body – or a least enough of it to achieve startling results. Rejuvenation will be an ordinary and well-recognized matter of a few injections at appropriate intervals."

"The desire to keep old age at bay has ever been one of the dreams of humanity; at last we can predict that it will be achieved. "This mortal must put on immortality" by extending the length of his days of earth."

Neil: He's got that phrase there "This mortal must put on immortality", is that a direct quote from somebody? I don't know if you know who that is but anyway...

"The attractions of such an idea, especially to women, who will no longer grow old quickly, is too clear to require emphasis."

Neil: Now that we have seen, particularly in the likes of Cosmopolitan magazine itself, the promotion of you know women having to stay young. They have to stay beautiful to get on in the world or to get the best jobs and all the rest of it. Again we are talking about propaganda, like Stalin and Hitler, they knew that you had to target the women. So here we are, we've got the women pressurized into doing this, to stay young, look young and all the rest of it.

And then he goes on to say:

"But the universal practice of rejuvenation will be accompanied by grave social problems, the least of which would be the immense increase in population."

Neil: So he brings in population.

"Suppose it possible to guarantee one hundred and fifty years of life to every

healthy child, how will youths of twenty be able to compete in the professions or in business against vigorous men, still in their prime at one hundred and twenty, with a century of experience on which to draw? The benefits to humanity which will accrue if the lives of men of genius are so prolonged is obvious."

Neil: So he is talking about themselves there. We will all benefit if these guys are allowed to live to a hundred and fifty and their wealth of experience, but we are not going to get life extension because we are just not worthy enough.

Alan: That's right and also too the whole point was to create a person with a genius level through manipulation prior to again ectogenetics, etcetera. And they would do it before, outside the womb basically. They could literally piece a person together with different genes, etcetera, which they are doing by the way on the quiet and they have been doing it for years for women who are childless, where they get their ovum, etcetera, extracted, a whole bunch of them, and that's the last the women will see of what's actually happening. But it isn't simply matching it up with a spermatozoon somewhere, it's actually, they are actually taking genes out and putting genes in and following the children who actually get born from these experiments, they are following them down through their lives to see how they react, behave, etcetera. And they are being well studied without their knowledge actually. So this has been going on for a long time.

Neil: Yeah, he actually goes on to that in the next paragraph.

He says:

"Most probably by 2029 a clever young man will consider his fiancée's hereditary complexion before proposing marriage; and the young woman of that day will refuse him because he has inherited a gene from his father which will predispose their children to quarrelsomeness. By intelligent combinations of suitable genes, it will be possible to predict with reasonable certainty that truly brilliant children shall be born of a marriage.

It is possible however, that by 2029 the whole question of human heredity and eugenics will be swallowed up by the prospect of ectogenetic birth.

By this is meant the development of a child from a fertilized cell outside its mother's body - in a glass vessel filled with serum on a laboratory bench."

Neil: I was talking to someone a couple of weeks ago and there is a group called, I think it's "23andMe", who will do a genetic test on you and they match you up with somebody to marry based on your genetic traits and your compatibility. It's nothing to do with attraction, nothing to do with emotion. It's just your genetics and to make sure you have the right kind of children. So that's happened. And now we've got private agencies coming out and advertising their wares.

And it goes on to say:

"Such a proceeding is neither incredible nor, indeed, impossibly remote. The results of possibly much research show that the connection between a mother and her growing child are purely chemical; there is no valid reason why one day biologists should not be able perfectly to imitate that chemical

connection in the laboratory."

Neil: So again we are not humans with emotional attachments or physical attachments to the children we have, it's just all chemical. We shouldn't really bother getting emotionally attached to the children because they are just a bunch of chemicals and that's it.

"The possibility of ectogenetic children will naturally arouse the fiercest antagonism. Religious bodies of many different creeds will rally their adherents to fight such a fundamental biological invention."

Neil: He just said it is all chemical, but now he is saying it's biological, so there is a contradiction there surely.

"In fact the mere mention of its possibility here may strike many readers as gratuitously disgusting."

Neil: It is.

"Nevertheless the thing is possible;"

Alan: What's interesting there is that you'll find that..., remember the elite themselves have really many psychopathic traits developed over centuries of inbreeding with powerful people. People who seek power are pretty ruthless, etcetera. And they marry the daughters of successful ruthless other people who are very wealthy and so on. So they have psychopathic traits and they send their own children off at a very early age. I mean first of all a nanny will raise them. And so the bonding doesn't take place for the elite between the parent and the offspring. They have more in common with their nanny actually for the rest of their lives than they do with the mother for instance. Then they are sent off to boarding school, etcetera, etcetera. And so they don't develop what the normal folk have who raise their own families, etcetera. But they do understand that normal folk do raise their own families so they are talking about themselves and projecting their own view of it onto everyone else at the same time. But at the same time they do understand how the ordinary folk literally have their families grow up in their own households with them.

So you have to understand their point of view and the fact that what they did was the norm for their whole class, to send their children off and a stiff upper lip, don't show emotion, etcetera, to your own family members. So that's why they were all for this idea it was chemically based, etcetera, rather than the fact that it was their actual class system and psychopathy that couldn't let them bond even with their own children at times.

Neil: Yeah and I mean it goes on again...

"Nevertheless the thing is possible;"

Neil: Talking about ectogenetics.

"...and since it is possible, it is certain that scientists will be deterred by no persecution from straining after it."

Neil: In other words no kind of morality or ethics is going to come into this. They

are just going to do it anyway regardless of any public outcry.

And he says:

"Should ectogenesis ever become an established part of human society, its effects will be shattering. Primarily it will separate reproduction from marriage, and the latter institution will become wholly changed. Further, the character of the future inhabitants of any state could be determined by the government which happened temporarily to enjoy power. By regulating the choice of the ectogenetic parents of the next generation, the Cabinet of the future could breed a nation of industrious dullards, or leaven the population with fifty thousand charmingly irresponsible mural painters.

A further immediate consequence of ectogenesis would be a plea that society should be allowed to produce the human types it most needs, instead of being forced to absorb all the unsuitable types which happen to be born."

Neil: So again we are right back to eugenics again. The whole thing is about eugenics and nothing to do with bettering society. Well not for the vast majority of society but certainly it is to benefit these guys. And that's all it's about.

Alan: That is all it's about.

Neil: It's not about promoting science here.

"Many of the arguments brought against slavery would be powerless..."

Neil: Sorry, he is talking about breeding intelligent creatures...

"...to perform intricate drudgery yet lacking all ambition, what ruling class would resist the temptation?"

Neil: So again there is no morality. They are just going to go for it.

And it says:

"Many of the arguments brought against slavery would be powerless in such a case; for the ectogenetic slave of the future would not feel his bonds."

Neil: And I think that's where we are nowadays. We are just going along day to day.

Alan: Absolutely, we are. You can make slavery as long as you don't use the terms. You can live in a communist society where you have all the trappings of communism but not call it communism. You can call it the liberal party or the social party or whatever but it's still the same thing. As long as you don't use actual terms the average person won't relate it, that's rather strange that. But they won't relate it to what actually exists. And he's talking about the same thing there. Slavery can be made very enticing if you don't call it slavery. You simply say you have to get so much data to use this program or app or whatever it happens to be, but look at all the benefits you'll have. So you entice them into it, giving up voluntarily piece by piece until they are an actual slave to the whole system, including their cell phones. In fact they are having breakdowns when they lose them now, a lot of young folk. So it's working very, very well.

People don't realize you give up your freedoms for some other reward. It's very simplistic you see, they did it all with rats and other animals, which was nothing to do with to see if they could train an army of rats. It was all to see if they can be used, all these experiments could be used eventually on humans. Would you give up your own ability to survive independently or think independently for all of these rewards and unfortunately the answer seems to be yes.

Neil: Yeah and he goes on talking about slavery.

"Every impulse which makes slavery degrading and irksome to ordinary humanity would be removed from his mental equipment. His only happiness would be in his task; he would be the exact human counterpart of the worker bee. Only the arguments of religion could be used to prevent his evolution."

Neil: Again that's why we are seeing the destruction of religion.

"His emancipation could never be considered, for in freedom he would find only crushing boredom and misery."

Neil: Well I think I'd rather have the crushing boredom and misery than be a slave to be honest. I don't see how slavery can be enjoyable but obviously again going back to "**Brave New World**" when they issued out the Soma. These people were just mindless automatons and just did a specific task all day, they got their Soma, came back and did the same thing day after day after day, and they were all dressed the same in the uniformity of the uniform, the brown overalls or the dungarees.

And it carries on:

"It seems improbable, however, that the future developments of industry will call for such a being to tend its wheels. Production will become so cheap, and, barring political or international upheavals, wealth will accumulate to such an extent, that the ectogenetic Robot will never be needed. It is far more likely that men will work as machine-minders for one or two hours a day and be free to devote the rest of their energies to whatever form of activity they enjoy."

Neil: So there's the carrot that's being put out to people. Oh yeah we'll only have to do work a couple of hours a day and then you'll get to do what you want after that. But if you already have been given all of these drugs to keep you happy, this is kind of a contradiction to that, to say if you had to work a forty-hour week you wouldn't be happy.

Alan: That's right.

Neil: So which is it?

Alan: Again they said in the 60's when they revived all this idea to get us to accept science as your new God you might say, a wonderful Santa Claus, that eventually you'd have this utopia and they even had huge displays in all the top magazines and the newspapers across Britain and the rest of the world of people walking about, drawings of folks walking about in togas, Roman togas for goodness sake all with smiles on their face. That was going to be the future that

they depicted in the 1960's. And they got it all from previous agendas, actually the same agenda which had never stopped from even before Birkenhead's time. Now Birkenhead also knew Charles Galton Darwin and the Darwin family. And remember too in, "**The Next Million Years**", written by **Charles Galton Darwin**, he says, he said, *we are now in the process of creating a more sophisticated form of slavery*. And that was back in the 1950's. So you are simply living through an agenda. I have always known this. And all of these things that keep coming up in your own lifetime simply show you, that you are living to a time table in fact.

As I say science, all the sciences are directed by funding. The funder tells them what they want them to look for or how to do something and they have to achieve that goal. It's not willy-nilly just finding out this for the purpose of inquiry and finding things out, they are actually all directed along this one agenda and we are living through it today.

Neil: Just in the last few paragraphs of this thing it gives kind of a brief conclusion, very, very brief.

"I have assumed, therefore, that the rate of progress in applied physics, chemistry and biology during the next hundred years will be maintained approximately at its present level. It may even be greatly accelerated by the ever increasing interest in scientific research on the part of industrialists and governments."

Neil: So you have your corporations and your governments working together to do all this.

"Nevertheless, unless science is able to change our ideas no less rapidly than our environment, some of the developments at which I have hinted may not come to pass. Unless for example, the ideas of Asiatic peoples are drastically changed, it will be impossible to stamp out epidemic disease from the world."

Neil: So I don't know what he is... Is he hinting at the fact that the Asiatic peoples had their own kind of medicines and things like that and kind of went down the natural medicine route or is he just being racist?

Alan: Well I think it was a hidden thing really in some ways because you see at that time the Western world was all under one kind of system starting from the Alfred Milner Group, Rhodes Society and the Royal Institute of International Affairs, CFR basically it's called across the whole planet. But it was the **Royal Institute of International Affairs** that was to run this global system but by that time it didn't have China in on it. And so unless you get all the vaccinations, that are once again there to help you, they couldn't make all this happen because the vaccinations I'm pretty well certain are really not there to help you at all. People are becoming incredibly sterile. With every generation that passes it gets worse and worse. If it isn't the vaccinations, it's also the food system they give you too. They know all of this too of course and it's well documented at the very, very top. You can't study their graphs as to population and demographics of people who are becoming more sterile in certain areas without looking at all the inoculations they've been given, what batches of inoculations and so on.

So it is working as they have said because one of their big problems was that they

didn't want too many folk around in a post-industrial society, which they have been talking about by the way since the beginning of the 1900's. They knew that they would eventually be a post-industrial society and you wouldn't need all the labor and workers, etcetera. So the best way to do it, like **H.G. Wells** said in "**A Modern Utopia**", is to quietly sterilize them and they will simply die off and they won't procreate. They might enjoy life to an extent and then they'll die off. So that's actually happening today.

That's also the excuse for the mass immigration into Britain for instance that Margaret Thatcher used; she said we must bring in mass immigration because the people aren't having enough children. It wasn't just because they weren't having enough children it's because so many of them were becoming sterile. And all the studies have shown that, in the males especially the sperm count is almost zilch today, active sperm. And it's the same in the U.S. This is with eighteen-year-olds by the way. They do these surveys every single year. They all show an increasing sterilization. And apparently it's not a crisis. Why isn't it a crisis? Because it is meant to be that way.

Neil: Yeah absolutely. It finishes off basically what we talked about earlier that science can be used for good or bad.

"But it is not self-evident that all applications of scientific discovery deserve the support of intelligent men and women."

Neil: So in other words if it is something that's going to benefit the masses, they are not going to support it.

"Because science has benefited humanity in the past, there is no reason why it always should do so in the future."

Neil: And then he goes on to say but this might all change if somebody sets off an atomic bomb and just wipes us all out. So his prediction would be meaningless. But he probably knew that wasn't going to happen either. {Laughs}

But yeah he is clearly stating that the only science that is going to be supported is the science that they want that's going to benefit them. It's not going to benefit humanity in the wider sense; it's only going to benefit this smaller group at the top who is controlling it.

Alan: Yes. It's always been that way really, you know. It's always been that way. Yeah you will get some entertainment value, you'll get entertainment value from the television and so on, but you are also being indoctrinated all the time with the TV as well with the new ideas and how to behave, what your marriage is or should be, or could be, or what promiscuity should be, etcetera, etcetera. Or how correct the state should be and how much power the state should have with all their SWAT teams and dramas and so on.

Everything you see on television to do with police or the medical establishment done in a dramatic form, a drama form, a series or movie, is all propaganda as Jacques Ellul said you know, and he was a great philosopher. It's there for propaganda reasons to give you a false idea so that you will obey these what used to be services; police services were services. You could also literally cancel the contract with them. The same with the healthcare could be cancelled. But Lenin

said, and he was in on the same agenda too by the way using the Soviet System as a laboratory, that's why the West set it up, the Soviet System. Lenin said that they would win through slogans which would be put across, just repetitive slogans or phrases, etcetera. Repetition works on the human mind and they would win that way. The best way to do it of course is through radio, television, movies and so on. And it's all pretty well happened since these guys were on the go.

But Lenin also said that services, the West will proliferate with services, childcare services, police services, healthcare services, and these services which are voluntary if you wanted it or not you can say no, I don't want that. Now you can't. Now they are authorities. And that's what they said, their job is to turn and train the public that they are the authorities. That's what you have today. So you are run by authorities from birth to death you see.

Neil: Yeah. Okay we've got a couple of minutes left. That was Birkenhead predicting what was going to happen in 2029. A quick prediction of what you think is going to be a hundred years down the line?

Alan: I think they will pretty well achieve it because the public adapt all the time. The whole theory as it came out of Darwinism with adaptation, etcetera, is very true and they are heavily sunk into the whole scientific revolutions and the funding to do with people managing, managing people. This is the big, big job today through managing people, world managers, people managers, social managers, all of these managers. And now you have managers basically appointed by the government and GIRFEC for Scotland appointed to every child that's born basically to make sure they can tweak you before you get any self thoughts or own thoughts, thought from yourself. No, they are going to make sure you will get the state's authorized thoughts.

So, it's all here. Management from birth to death is already underway. The **GIRFEC** program is called other names in other countries, all coming from the **United Nations** by the way. It's a treaty that all began in the United Nations under the Rights of the Child, who just had their big meeting there once again, the Rights of the Child, and all they talked about were the rights of children to be indoctrinated in the LGBT agenda, etcetera.

So the whole idea is to destroy all that was to bring in the new. You must always destroy the old to bring in the new; that's the thing I always repeat. And that's been awfully successful. The think tank for the British Department of Defence also said the same thing that by 2050 they said the population would drastically, they show a drastic decrease in population. And that included China. It was already well underway in the West until people say in some, say the native Scots and so on, etcetera, were already under the numbers for reproduction and sustainability for themselves so they were assuming they'd just fade off the map actually, things like that. This all came out from the top think tanks for the Department of Defence and NATO. It's well underway what they want plus their city-state idea. The same thing as Birkenhead talked about for a manageable society of the proper kind of people in their city-state, all the specially-bred ones to serve the elite at the top. And they said that future wars would be limited and more very high, high tech wars between occasional city-states until they all agree to be one again. You know one governmental system worldwide. So they are on their way to it.

Neil: Yeah. That was the way they've done it in China.

Alan: Also, and I should mention too, I should mention too that the United Nations publishes every year too the IQ tests for the same countries over and over. We have dropped about 5 to 7 points IQ in the last ten years or so. That doesn't happen by itself.

Neil: Not at all. Just to mention, I mean the whole point of China is they brought in the one-child policy. They have a culture where infanticide is fine if it is a girl you are going to kill. And it's no wonder that the population is going to get down if there are hardly any women around. It's going to take its toll isn't it?

Alan: Yes. But it has more of an effect too. That's also the reason they promote so much homosexuality to alleviate the actual sexual drives amongst just the men that are left you see, things like that. So they have taken care of everything, they have thought of everything that they'd have to do you know.

Neil: Okay. We'll leave it on that cheery note. {Laughs}

Alan: Yeah. {Laughs}

Neil: And we'll talk again next month is it. I believe I have January 15th for next month.

Alan: Okay. Take care.

Neil: Okay. Thanks very much Alan. Cheers.

Alan: Cheers.

{♪ - Closing Music}

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|
| <u>BOOKS</u> | "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 | Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português) |
| <u>CDs</u> | Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) | & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc) |
| <u>DVDs</u> | "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME" | |